1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9		
10	GREENTREE FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.,	Case No. 2:16-cv-00972-GMN-NJK
11	Plaintiff(s),	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
12	v	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13	WORLD NATION LIVE ENTERTAINMENT,) INC.,	
1415	Defendant(s).	
16		
17	failing to satisfy its burden of establishing that service had been effectuated. Docket No. 25. The Court	
18	ordered that, to the extent Plaintiff continues to seek default judgment, it must file its second renewed	
19	motion for default judgment by August 7, 2017. <i>Id.</i> No such motion was filed. On August 16, 2017,	
20	the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause in writing, by August 23, 2017, why this case should not be	
21	dismissed for failure to effectuate service and/or failure to prosecute. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), 41(b).	
22	Alternatively, the Court permitted Plaintiff to file a second renewed motion for default judgment by that	
23	date. Docket No. 26. Neither a response to the order to show cause nor a second renewed motion for	
24	default judgment has been filed. Accordingly, the undersigned RECOMMENDS that this case be	
25	dismissed for failure to effectuate service and/or failure to prosecute.	
26	DATED: August 25, 2017	
27	NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge	
28	Omica St	aics magnitude suuge

NOTICE

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned
to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. \S 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served
with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court. Pursuant
to Local Rule of Practice (LR) IB 3-2(a), any party wishing to object to the findings and
recommendations of a magistrate judge shall file and serve specific written objections together with
points and authorities in support of those objections, within fourteen days of the date of service of the
findings and recommendations. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the
specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's Order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
(9th Cir. 1991). The points and authorities filed in support of the specific written objections are subject
to the page limitations found in LR 7-3.